### SIMULTANEOUSLY TRANSLATED **INTO ENGLISH**

INTERNATIONAL COLLOQUIUM

25 - 27 November 2015

### COMPETITION between JUDGES in EUROPE

THE DIALOGUE IN QUESTION(S)



Faculty of Law, Tours

Under the patronage of the President of the Constitutional Council, Jean-Louis Debré

Under the Scientific Supervision of

Pierre-Yves Monjal Professor of Public Law, Codirector of GERCIE Jean Monnet Chair, François-Rabelais University, Tours

### Pascal Jan

Christophe Geslot

Professor of Public Law IEP Bordeaux

Research Accredited Associate Professor Franche Comté University

Registration: http://juges-en-europe.sciencesconf.org

For further information, contact Véronique PICARD veronique.picard@univ-tours.fr +33 (0)2 47 36 11 70

















































### **PROGRAMME**

### INTERNATIONAL COLLOQUIUM

25-27 November 2015

### **Faculty of Law, Tours**

### Competition between Judges in Europe: The Dialogue in Question(s)

Under the patronage of Jean-Louis Debré

President of the Constitutional Council

Under the Scientific Supervision of

Pierre-Yves Monjal

Professor of Public Law, Codirector of GERCIE

Jean Monnet Chair

François Rabelais University, Tours

Pascal Jan Christophe Geslot

Professor of Public Law Research Accredited Associate Professor

IEP Bordeaux Franche Comté University

### Competition between Judges in Europe: The Dialogue in Question(s)

For several decades, the dialogue between the judges has provided the reading grid called up by jurists to understand intersystemic and jurisdictional relations both at the national and at the European levels. Many a work has been devoted to this topic over the years and recently.

The issue of competition between judges seeks to mobilize jurists trying to supersede, or at least redirect, this reading of jurisdictional relations. The concept of competition, probably more exploitable in its normative and positive sense than that of dialogue, enables us to recall that courts are established powers. However, the exercise of power is very often exclusive, less inclined to share or engage in a dialogue. When there is a dialogue, it is a muted manifestation of what is played between the judges at a deeper level, that is to say, a veritable strategy of power. Jurisdictional boundaries seem to have been gradually set up whose markings could be sought from the point of view of protection (promotion) of fundamental rights.

In a sort of competition between "best bidders" who are beneficial to litigants, i.e., between major European and/or constitutional courts, the stakes are high. The opinion 2/13 of Court of Justice of the European Union rejecting any idea of accession to the European Court of Human Rights is illustrative enough of the jurisdictional boundaries being preserved and not abandoned to the competition of "others." The proposed papers attempt, within a wide range of situations and combinations (national/national - national/European - European/European ...), to nurture this competitive approach, as a means to renew the analysis of these contemporary and European jurisdictional relations.

## Wednesday 25 November Morning

8h15 Arrival of participants

Community Council of the Tours Urban Community Tour(s)plus – "Deux Lions" University Site

8h45 Welcoming the participants

- Word of Welcome by Loïc Vaillant, President of Tours University
- Word of Welcome by Philippe Briand, President of Tour(s)plus
- Word of Welcome by Nathalie Albert-Moretti, Professor of Public Law, Dean of the Tours Law Faculty
- Word of Welcome by Abdelkhaleq Berramdane, Professor of Public Law, Codirector of GERCIE, Tours University
- Word of Welcome by Pierre-Yves Monjal, Professor of Public Law, Codirector of GERCIE, Tours University

### • OPENING OF THE COLLOQUIUM •

Pascal Jan, Professor of Public Law, IEP Bordeaux

### • DIALOGUE BETWEEN JUDGES QUESTIONED (I) •

Chair: Pierre-Yves Monjal, Professor of Public Law, Codirector of GERCIE, Tours University

9h30 Origin of the Concept: «dialogue between judges» - A Critical Gaze Bruno Genevois, President of the Honorary Section of the Council of State, President of the French Anti-Doping Agency (AFLD)

9h45 Does there exist a dialogue between judges? Christophe Frardet, Professor of Public Law, Lorraine University.

10h00 Positivity of the Dialogue between Judges Jean-Philippe Derosier, Professor of Public Law, Rouen University.

10h15 Discussions

10h45 Pause

11h00 The Dialogue between Judges: Between Normativity and Normalcy Etienne Picard, Professor Emeritus of Public Law, Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne University

11h15 For a Doctrine of Legal Controversies François Brunet, Professor of Public Law, Tours University

11h30 The Unnecessary Dialogue between Judges Claude Blumann, Professor Emeritus of Public Law, Paris II Panthéon-Assas University

11h45 Discussions 12h00 Lunch (for the speakers).

### • DIALOGUE BETWEEN JUDGES QUESTIONED (II) •

Chair Christophe Geslot, Rsearch Accredited Associate Professor of Public Law, Director CRJFC, Franche Comté University

14h00 Is the agreement between judges based on their specialty an alternative? Pierre Bourdon, Associate Professor of Public Law, Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne University

14h15 Dialogue between Judges or Judicial Mimetism? The Figure of the Judge in Comparative Law

Thierry Renoux, Professor of Public Law, Aix-Marseille University

14h30 « Communicational » Rhetoric in European Judicial Law Séverine Ménétrey, Assistant Professor in Private Judicial Law, Luxembourg University

14h45 Discussions

### • COMPETITION BETWEEN JUDGES QUESTIONED (I) • Chair Pascal Jan, Professor of Public Law, IEP Bordeaux

15h15 Is Dialogue among Judges or Competition between Judges a Simple Internal Conflict between « Makers of Systems »?

Dominique Rousseau, Professor of Public Law, Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne University

15h30 Between Dialogue among Judges and Competition between Judges: The Constraint of Circulation

Jean-Sylvestre Bergé, Professor of Private Law, Lyon 3 Jean Moulin University

15h45 Autonomous Notions and Competition between Judges Sandrine Turgis, Associate Professor of Public Law Reims Champagne-Ardenne University

16h00 Discussions

16h30 End of Day 1

### • COMPETITION BETWEEN JUDGES QUESTIONED (II) • *Plenary Meeting and the launching of two workshops*

Under the supervision of Pierre-Yves Monjal, Professor of Public Law, Codirector of GERCIE, Tours University

Workshop 1 : Comparative Approach Chair Etienne Picard, Professor Emeritus of Public Law, Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne University

8h30 Welcoming the participants

Community Council of the Tours Urban Community Tour(s)plus – "Deux Lions" University Site

9h00 Ultra Vires Control and Competition between Judges Adelaïde Puttler, Professor of Public Law, Bochum University, Germany

9h15 The Protection of Fundamental Rights in the Federal State: From Dialogue to Competition between Jurisdictions Céline Fercot, Associate Professor of Public Law, Paris West University

9h30 Monologue at three among the CJEU, ECtHR and the Supreme Courts Abdelkhaleq Berramdane, Professor of Public Law, Codirector of GERCIE, Tours University

9h45 Discussions

10h15 The Court of Justice of the European Free Trade Association States and the Court of Justice of the European Union: A Special Approach to Dialogue between Judges Eleftheria Neframi, Professor at Luxembourg University, Jean Monnet Chair and Clelia Lacchi, LL.M., Doctoral Scholar at Luxembourg University

10h30 The Specificities of the European Union on the International scene: What Impact on the Dialogue between Judges?

Annie Cudennec, Professor of Public Law, Jean Monnet Chair, West Brittany University

10h45 Financial Jurisdictions: A Japanese-French Comparison Takumaro Kimura, Professor of Public Law, Chiba University Tokyo

11h00 Discussions

11h15 Pause

Thrusday 26 November Morning

Workshop 2: National Approach Chair François Brunet, Professor of Public Law Tours University

8h30 Welcoming the participants

Community Council of the Tours Urban Community Tour(s)plus – "Deux Lions" University Site

9h00 The Difficult Dialogue between Judges in Domestic Law – The Case of Competition between Criminal Law Judges and Judges of Judicial or Administrative Orders Béatrice Lapérou-Schneider, Research Accredited Associate Professor of Private Law, Franche Comté University

9h15 The Constitutional Council, The CJEU and the "social": Competition or Complementarity?
Selma Josso, Associate Professor of Public Law, Tours University

9h30 The Separation of Powers, subject of a French Dialogue Pierre Mouzet, Research Accredited Associate Professor of Public Law, Tours University

9h45 Discussions

10h15 French Approach to Competition between Judges with regard to Rights of Aliens Emmanuel Aubin, Professor of Public Law, Poitiers University

10h30 Juridical and Critical Analysis of a «Successful Dialogue» between the European Court of Human Rights, the Court of Cassation, the Council of State and the Constitutional Council Régis Ponsard, Associate Professor of Public Law, Reims University

10h45 Discussion

11h15 Pause

11h30 Synthesis of two workshops and discussion Under the Supervision of Pierre-Yves Monjal, Professor of Public Law, Codirector of GERCIE, Tours University

Community Council of the Tours Urban Community

12h30 Lunch (for the speakers)

### Thursday 26 November Afternoon

### • Competition between Judges Revealed (I) • Chair Eric Desmons, Professor of Public Law, Paris 13 University

14h00 Is there a Path from Competition to Dialogue between Judges in the European Union? Lætitia Guilloud-Colliat, Professor of Public Law, Grenoble-Alpes University

14h15 The Competition between European Judges from the Constitutional Council's Standpoint

Jean Rossetto, Professor of Public Law, Tours University.

14h30 The Competition between European Judges from the point of view of the Internal Market

Valérie Michel, Professor of Public Law, Jean Monnet Chair, Aix-Marseille University

15h45 Discussions

16h15 Pause

16h30 Competition between European Judges viewed from the point of view of Fundamentality

Sébastien Touzé, Professor of Public Law, Paris II Panthéon-Assas University

16h45 EU accession to the ECHR: Autonomy or Surrender of European Union Law Patrick Dollat, Associate Professor, IEP Strasbourg, First Judge of the Administrative Court

17h00 Discussions

17h30 End of Day 2

## ● Competition between Judges Revealed (II) ● Chair Diane Roman, Professor of Public Law, Tours University

9h00 Conflicts among Interpreters of Fundamental Social Rights Sylvaine Laulom, Professor of Public Law, Lyon 2 Lumière University

9h15 The Charter of Fundamental Rights a Source of New Competition between Judges? Émilie Chevalier, Associate Professor of Public Law, Limoges University

9h30 Is the Court of Justice of the European Union in a Situation of Abuse of Dominant Position?

François Hervouet, Professor Emeritus in Public Law, Poitiers University

9h45 Discussions

10h15 The Competition between the International Court of Justice and other International Jurisdictions

Guillaume Le Floch, Professor of Public Law, Rennes 1 University

10h30 Pause

10h45 L'avis 2/13 de la Cour de justice et la concurrence des systèmes de garantie des droits fondamentaux dans les ordres juridiques européens

Laurence Potvin Solis, Professeur de droit public, Université de Caen Normandie, Chaire Jean Monnet

11h00 Discussions

12h00 End of Academic Proceedings - Lunch (for the speakers)

# ● Round Table ● (Pause at 15h30) Dialogue between and with the Judges

### Chair

Pascal Jan Professor of Public Law IEP Bordeaux Jean-Philippe Deroisier Professor of Public Law Rouen University

### Soraya Amrani Mekki

Professor of Private Law, Member of the Superior Magistrature Council (France) (under reservations)

#### Nicole Belloubet

Professor of Public Law, Member of the Constitutional Council (France)

#### Johan Callewaert

Joint Registrar of the Grand Chamber of the European Court of Human Rights, Professor at Louvain University

### Thomas von Danwitz

President of the Fifth Chamber of the Court of Justice of the European Union

### Angelika Nußberger

German Judge at the European Court of Human Rights Vice-President of the Section

### Malgorzata Pyziak-Szafnicka

Professor of Private Law, Judge at the Polish Constitutional Court (Poland)

#### Bernard Stirn

President of the Litigation Section of the Council of State (France)

### Augustin Zegrean

Président of the Constitutional Council (Romania)

### VOTE OF THANKS – END OF COLLOQUIUM

### REGISTRATION

On the web site: http://juges-en-europe.sciencesconf.org
Before 24 November 2015
Registraion fee: 90 euros – Free for students

### **INFORMATION**

Véronique PICARD – Administrative Head
<a href="mailto:veronique.picard@univ-tours.fr">veronique.picard@univ-tours.fr</a> - 02 47 36 11 70

UFR Droit, Economie et Sciences Sociales
50, avenue Jean de Portalis – BP 0607 - 37206 TOURS CEDEX 03

### ACCESS TO THE COLLOQUIUM

"Deux Lions" Site, Tours – Tram Station: «L'Heure Tranquille» La communauté d'agglomération de Tours 56 avenue Marcel Dassault - 37200 Tours

Designed by: OcEane Teco